

Policy analysis: **PROMOTING SICs ADOPTION IN KESZTHELY, HUNGARY**







Compaction Erosion

Nitrates

contamination



Nutrient loss

SOIL-IMPROVING CROPPING SYSTEMS FOR INCREASING SOIL HEALTH IN **KESZTHELY, HUNGARY**

The following Soil-Improving Cropping systems (SICs) were tested in Keszthely, Hungary, to address the main soil threats identified above:

- 1. Integrated nutrient managements (Organic/inorganic N fertilization, mineral fertilisation in continuous maize cropping)
- 2. Integrated nutrient management in combination with rotations crop (organic/inorganic fertilisation in different rotations)
- 3. Reduced tillage practices (Tillage in maizewheat biculture).

The SICs above present important practices that might benefit soil health if widely taken up. The main aim of this study was to formulate policy alternatives and actions and to facilitate the adoption of SICs.



Evidence gathered through desk research, interviews and a stakeholder workshop show that different factors contribute to and undermine the uptake of SICS in general, and of the practices tested in Keszthely, Hungary in particular. These include:

LIMITED COHERENCE **BETWEEN POLICIES**

•	
0	
0	



WEAK ENFORCEMENT

AVAILABILITY OF GRANTS/SUBSIDIES



LACK OF INFORMATION

Authors

Alicia McNeill, Melanie Muro, Tugce Tugran, Zuzana Lukacova, Zoltan



under grant agreement No. 677407.



POLICY SHORTCOMINGS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR FACILITATING THE UPTAKE OF SICS



The existing policy framework in Keszthely, Hungary, already promotes the SICS covered by the SoilCare project through a range of existing regulatory, economic, and voluntary policy instruments and measures. The analysis shows that several economic policies promote the use of cover crops, the SICS tested at the study site, a practice which is relevant to alleviating compaction, halting erosion, and generally improving soil health. The same instruments incentivise reduced tillage practices which also reduce compaction and erosion while smart residue and controlled traffic management, which could address the same soil threats, are not incentivised, or regulated by existing policies.

Blue circles= SICs identified as potentially beneficial to the main soil threats and subsequently tested in the study siye; Red circles = Other SICs promoted through existing mandatory, economic, or voluntary policy instruments in Keszthely, Hungary

	CROP ROTATION	GREEN MANURES, COVER CROPS, CATCH CROPS	INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT	EFFICIENT	CONTROLLED DRAINAGE	REDUCED/NO TILLAGE	INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT	SMART WEED CONTROL	SMART RESIDUE MANAGEMENT	CONTROLLED TRAFFICKING	INTEGRRATED LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT
CAP GAEC Cross-compliance Standards											
Act on the General Rules of Environmental Protection											
Rules for Action Program against Agricultural Nitrate Pollution, Data Reporting and Record Keeping											
Decree on the Protection of Waters against Nitrates Pollution from Agricultural Origin											
Decree on Protection of Geological Medium and Groundwater against Pollution											
Rules about Agricultural Utilization of Sewage Sludge and Waste Water			•								
Decree authorizing the placing on the market and use of plant protection products and packaging, marking, storage and transport of plant protection							•				
Rules about Authorization, Storage, Marketing and Utilization of Fertilising Products											
National Action Plan to Improve Organic Farming											
Ministerial Decree on Preparation of Soil Protection Plan											•
Act on Cultivated Land											
Act on the Protection of Cultivated Soil											



@SoilCare_eu

The SoilCare project is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 677407.



POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

SIMPLIFY POLICY

FRAMEWORK AND



Based on the results of this study, the following policy recommendations can be made:





Simplification of the policy framework and better enforcement:

Policies are viewed by stakeholders as complicated, incoherent, and poorly enforced. This makes it challenging for farmers to comply with policy requirements, especially if they observe that they face little consequence for non-compliance. While it is found that there are a number of policies already in place that impact soil, they require simplification both at EU and national level legislation. In addition, they need to be more effectively enforced to produce the intended outcomes and impacts. This also concerns ensuring policy is coherent and not working towards contradictory goals.



Raising awareness of the environmental benefits of SICS:

There is need to provide farmers with information on SICS. There is very little awareness of the benefits of soil bacteria in the soil and what technique can facilitate its maintenance. Information needs to also be aimed at consumers, who should be encouraged to purchase from sustainably managed farms.





INTRODUCE BETTER DESIGNED **ECONOMIC INSTRUMENTS**

Using available funding to promote SICS adoption:

@SoilCare_eu

Funding opportunities are the main driver for SICS adoption, especially funding from EU level. With the post-2020 CAP, new funding rules will be introduced. The Good Agricultural Environmental Conditions (GAECs) now offer a greater chance for soil protection. New conditions with the potential to improve soil health have been added, e.g., crop rotation is introduced under GAEC 8. The new agrienvironment-climate measures present opportunities to address declining soil health. It will be key that Member States allocate enough available budget available to implementing soil health measures.



